


LIQUIDITY MISMATCH



**MARKUS BRUNNERMEIER, GARY GORTON,
and ARVIND KRISHNAMURTHY**

PRINCETON and NBER, YALE and NBER, NORTHWESTERN and NBER

Objective



- **Measuring and regulating liquidity is widely understood to be an important part of macro-prudential policies**
 - Liquidity requirements
 - Liquidity stress-testing
- **But ... there is no clear consensus on how to best measure liquidity and liquidity risks.**
- **Many ideas that are around:**
 - “Cash is king;” Treasuries have good liquidity risk
 - Basel 3: LCR and NSFR
 - Liquidity and leverage
 - Maturity transformation and liquidity

Outline



1. **What is the right target?**
 - What are we trying to measure/regulate? LMI
2. **Why is the LMI a good measure?**
 - Examples

Liquidity Creation by Financial Sector



Assets	Liabilities
\$100 Illiquid Long-term Loans	\$10 Equity
	\$90 Demandable Debt

- Financial sector transforms illiquid assets into liquid assets
 - Liquid asset = promise of cash redemption
 - Profit = “liquidity premium”
- Subject to aggregate liquidity crises
- Central bank as LLR to backstop private liquidity
 - *Regulation: Control quantity of private liquidity creation*
 - *Regulation: Align private (profit) incentives with social*

Measurement



- Date 0: measurement date
- Date 1: Possible crisis. State $\omega \in \Omega$
- Firm i
 - **(A)ssets**: Securities/loans, derivatives, repo loans, cash
 - **(L)iabilities**: short-term debt, long-term debt, equity
- Measure **liquidity mismatch index** of each firm in each possible state

Liquidity Mismatch Index (LMI)



A

L

Market liquidity

- Can only sell assets at **fire-sale prices**

Ease with which one can raise money by **selling** the asset

Funding liquidity

- Can't **roll over** short term debt
- **Margin**-funding is recalled

Ease with which one can raise money by **borrowing** using the asset as collateral

 Maturity mismatch

Liquidity Mismatch Index (LMI)



A

L

Market liquidity

- Can only sell assets at **fire-sale prices**

Ease with which one can raise money by **selling** the asset

Funding liquidity

- Can't **roll over** short term debt
- **Margin**-funding is recalled

Ease with which one can raise money by **borrowing** using the asset as collateral

Liquidity
~~Maturity mismatch~~

Liquidity Mismatch Index = liquidity of assets minus liquidity promised through liabilities

Liquidity Mismatch Index (LMI)



A

L

Market liquidity

- Treasuries/cash: $\lambda = 1$
- Overnight repo: $\lambda = .99$
- Agency MBS: $\lambda = .95$
- Private-label MBS: $\lambda = .90$

Funding liquidity

- Overnight debt: $\lambda = 1$
- Long-term debt: $\lambda = .50$
- Equity: $\lambda = .10$

Liquidity Mismatch Index = liquidity of assets minus liquidity promised through liabilities

Basel 3: Net Stable Funding Ratio, Liquidity Coverage Ratios implicitly assign some λ weights

How to choose $\{\lambda\}$



1. **Interest rate spreads on bonds**
 - Krishnamurthy-Vissing Jorgenson: Measure the “liquidity convenience” of the asset
2. **Repo haircuts**
3. **Micro-structure measures:**
 - Bid-ask spreads
 - Price impact
 - Trading volume or turnover
- **Large empirical finance literature can be used.**

Liquidity: $\{\lambda\}$ & Liquidity Risk: $\{\lambda^\omega\}$



- **Example for setting $\{\lambda^\omega\}$**
 - Take a baseline set of $\{\lambda\}$
 - Consider an ω macro state;
We know covariance with aggregate liquidity measure
 - Consider percentage deviations in $\{\lambda^\omega\}$
based on moves of aggregate liquidity measure
- **Empirical finance work has documented time-series variation in aggregate liquidity measures**
 - Bond market liquidity spreads
 - Stock market measures of liquidity
 - Covariances with aggregate risk factors

Liquidity Risk



- $\{\lambda^\omega\}$ for different macro states ω
- Firm (or sector) liquidity risk:
 - the vector $\{\text{LMI}^\omega\}$ - LMI for each state ω
- $\{\text{LMI}^\omega\}$ is the liquidity risk taken by the firm
 - Portfolio decision at date 0 is over assets/liabilities
 - Asset/liability choices + realization of uncertainty result in $\{\text{LMI}^\omega\}$
- Δ^{LMI} along different risk factors

Example 1: Liquidity Mismatch



- Bank with \$20 of equity and \$80 of debt
- Debt: \$50 of overnight repo financing; rest is 5-year debt.
- The bank buys one Agency mortgage-backed security for \$50 (which is financed via repo at a 0% haircut)
- Loans \$50 to a firm for one year.

Example 1: Liquidity Mismatch



Assets	Liabilities
\$50 1-Year Loan	\$20 Equity
\$50 Agency-MBS	\$50 Repo debt
	\$30 5-Year debt

- *LMI places a larger weight on repo debt than Agency MBS*
- *This bank's $LMI < 0$*

Example 1: Liquidity Mismatch



Assets	Liabilities
\$50 1-Year Loan	\$20 Equity
\$50 Agency-MBS	\$50 Repo debt
	\$30 5-Year debt

- *Liquidity risk: What if the firm cannot renew financing?*
- *Leverage is a crude measure...*

Example 1: Liquidity Mismatch



Assets	Liabilities
\$50 1-Year Loan	\$20 Equity
\$50 Agency-MBS	\$50 Repo debt
\$50 Private-Label-MBS	\$30 5-Year debt

- *The asset-side is less liquid*
- *More liquidity mismatch in this example*

Example 2: Rehypothecation



- Dealer starts with \$10 of equity, invested in \$10 of Treasuries
 - Initially no leverage
- Dealer lends \$90 to a hedge fund against \$90 of MBS collateral in an overnight repo
- Dealer posts \$90 of MBS collateral to money market fund and borrows \$90 in an overnight repo

Assets	Liabilities
\$10 Treasuries	\$10 Equity
\$90 Loan to Hedge Fund	\$90 of Repo Debt

Example 2: Leverage Error



- Dealer lends \$90 to a hedge fund against \$90 of MBS collateral in an overnight repo
- Dealer posts \$90 of MBS collateral to money market fund and borrows \$90 in an overnight repo

Assets	Liabilities
\$10 Treasuries	\$10 Equity
\$90 Loan to Hedge Fund	\$90 of Repo Debt

- *Leverage = 9X, but little liquidity risk*
- *LMI nets asset liquidity against liability liquidity*
- *What if hedge fund loan was 10 days? Liquidity falls...*

Example 3: Credit Lines



- Bank with \$20 of equity and \$80 of debt
- The bank buys \$100 of U.S. Treasuries
- Offers a credit line to a firm to access up to \$100.
- *Bank has made a contingent commitment of liquidity.*
- *Liquidity risk: $LMI < 0$ in state(s) $\omega \in \Omega$ where credit line is accessed.*
 - *Note: We are most interested in aggregate states ω*

How can you use the LMI?



- 1. Liquidity aggregation**
 - Map, pockets, chains
- 2. Scenario analysis and liquidity risks**
 - Δ^{LMI} along certain (liquidity) factors
- 3. Gauging feedbacks and spillovers**
 - Liquidity is a general equilibrium phenomenon

Liquidity Map



- **Liquidity measures aggregate**
 - If bank A holds overnight repo on Bank B
 - ✦ Bank A is long liquidity, Bank B is short liquidity
 - ✦ More generally, there is netting of asset and liability liquidity
 - If bank A holds \$100 of Treasuries and Bank B holds \$100 of Treasuries
 - ✦ Total liquidity reflects total holding of \$200
- **Aggregate LMI equals a “liquidity aggregate”**
 - Analogy to (old days) monetary aggregates
 - Monetary aggregation with weights $\{\lambda\}$ along the lines of Barnett
- *Note: Measures designed to allow for some cross-checking, like Flow of Funds.*

Liquidity Pockets



- **Sectorial LMI**
 - Guess: Banking sector is net short liquidity
 - ✦ But, to whom, how much, etc.
 - Guess: Corporate, household sectors are long liquidity
- **2000 to 2008 build up**
 - Guess: Aggregate liquidity rises (good), but LMI for financial sector is more negative (bad)
- **Identify systemically important institutions**
 - $LMI < 0$ identifies “financial intermediary”
 - Lowest LMIs are the systemically important ones

Liquidity Chains



- **Baseline case: Symmetric weights $\{\lambda\}$**
 - i.e. Asset weights $\{\lambda\}$ match liability weights $\{\lambda\}$
- **Consider asymmetric case:**
 - Bank A owns \$100 short-term repo issued by bank B:
 - ✦ Asset weight = 0.95
 - Bank B issues \$100 short-term repo:
 - ✦ Liability weight = 1
- **Measurement: liquidity chains (A owes to B owes to C...) causes a contraction in aggregate liquidity**

Summary



- **Target – well defined**
 - Liquidity Mismatch Index
 - Captures relevant exposures
 - Useful to diagnose systemic liquidity risk
- **Relative to Basel III Liquidity Coverage Ratio**
 - Measure liquidity in \$s rather than LCR ratio
 - More explicitly capture liquidity risk as a macro-stress event